

1 DAVID L. OSIAS (BAR NO. 91287)  
JEFFREY R. PATTERSON (BAR NO. 126148)  
2 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE & MALLORY LLP  
501 West Broadway, Ninth Floor  
3 San Diego, California 92101-3577  
Phone: (619) 233-1155  
4 Fax: (619) 233-1158

FILED  
05 FEB -3 PM 1:32  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY

5 Attorneys for Receiver  
Thomas F. Lennon  
6  
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,  
12  
Plaintiffs,  
13  
vs.  
14 PRESTO TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.;  
15 ALFRED LOUIS VASSALLO, JR. aka  
BOBBY VASSALLO,  
16  
Defendants.  
17

Case No. 04cv00163 IEG (WMc)  
EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION  
OF DEADLINE FOR OBJECTION TO  
CLAIMS  
Ctrm: 13  
Judge: Hon. Irma E. Gonzalez

18 On May 28, 2004, this Court entered an Order Approving Summary Procedure for  
19 Administration of Claims and Resolution of Disputes and Ancillary Actions; and Setting Claims  
20 Bar date. This Order mandated that the Receiver undertake reasonable efforts to provide all Presto  
21 investors and creditors with at least 45 days notice of the bar date and claims procedure, and set a  
22 deadline of 180 days after the bar date for the Receiver to object to claims.

23 On June 17, 2004, this Court entered an Order Setting Claims Bar Date, with the deadline  
24 of August 15, 2004 by which all individuals or entities that hold a claim against or interest in  
25 Presto must have submitted a proof of claim. A deadline of 180 days after this bar date is  
26 February 11, 2005.

27 Thomas F. Lennon, receiver for Presto Telecommunications, Inc. ("Receiver") hereby  
28 requests an order extending the deadline to object to claims filed by Presto investors and creditors,

1 up to and including May 12, 2005. Significant additional time is needed to review claims and  
2 supporting documentation and determine allowability. Given the fact that there are little to no  
3 assets in the estate with which to pay claims, the Receiver does not believe it would be prudent to  
4 incur the expense of such claims review and the objection process at this time. The Receiver will  
5 advise the Court within 90 days regarding any change in the status of this matter.

6

7 Dated: February 1, 2005

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE &  
MALLORY LLP

8

9

By: 

JEFFREY R. PATTERSON  
Attorneys for Thomas F. Lennon, Receiver

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28